

# Harmonic Analysis of Boolean Functions, and applications in CS

## Lecture 3

March 3, 2008

Lecturer: Guy Kindler

Scribe by: Noam Arkind

Updated: April 14, 2008

## 1 Fourier Basis

### 1.1 Reminder

Our goal in this class is to find a basis for the space of real-valued functions  $\mathbb{R}^{\{-1,1\}^n}$  and explore its properties.

**Definition 1** A Walsh product/character corresponding to a set  $S \subseteq [n]$  is defined as

$$\chi_S(x) = \prod_{i \in S} x_i.$$

**Claim 2** The set of all characters  $\{\chi_S\}_{S \subseteq [n]}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^{\{-1,1\}^n}$ .

**Proof** We showed last class that  $\{\chi_S\}_{S \subseteq [n]}$  spans the canonical basis and hence a basis.

Alternatively: Every function can be interpreted by a polynomial  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i m_i(x)$  (see exercise below), in addition w.l.o.g all  $m_i$  are multi-linear ( $m_i = \prod_{i \in S} x_i$ ). ■

**Exercise. 1 (The interpolation theorem)** Let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a finite set and let  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Then  $\exists g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i m_i(x)$ ,  $m_i$ - monomials, s.t.  $g(x) = f(x) \forall x \in C$ .

*Conclusion.*  $\forall f : \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \exists ! f = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S$ .

It follows that the transformation from the space of functions  $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to the set of the coefficients  $\hat{f} : P([n]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , called the Fourier transform is in fact 1-1 and onto.

## 2 Some properties of $\{\chi_S\}$

### 2.1 Shift operator

**Definition 3** The  $y$ -shift operator for an element  $y \in \{\pm 1\}^n$  is defined over  $\mathbb{R}^{\{-1,1\}^n}$  as

$$\sigma_y f(x) = f(xy).$$

*Notation.* We denote by  $\sigma_i$  the shift operator  $\sigma_{e_i}$  where  $e_i = (1, \dots, -1, \dots, 1)$  and  $-1$  in the  $i$ -th coordinate.

We now wish to examine how does the shift operator affect characters, and understand better the structure of this basis.

$$\sigma_i \chi_S(x) = \chi_S(xe_i) = \prod_{i \in S} x_i e_i = \begin{cases} \chi_S(x) & i \notin S \\ -\chi_S(x) & i \in S \end{cases} .$$

We can see now that the character is affected by a scalar. This is also true for general shifts:

$$\sigma_y(\chi_S) = \chi_S(xy) = \prod_{i \in S} x_i y_i = \left( \prod_{i \in S} y_i \right) \left( \prod_{i \in S} x_i \right) = \chi_S(y) \chi_S(x).$$

In other words we can say that  $\chi_S$  is an eigene vector for the shift operator (for any set  $S$  and vector  $y$ ).

**Remark** In addition to the previous conclusion, we also got from the computation, that the character  $\chi_S$  is multiplicative, i.e.  $\chi_S(xy) = \chi_S(y)\chi_S(x)$ . Another easy result is that the set of characters is closed under multiplication which is clear by the following computation

$$\chi_S(x) \cdot \chi_T(x) = \left( \prod_{i \in S} x_i \right) \left( \prod_{i \in T} x_i \right) = \left( \prod_{i \in S \setminus T} x_i \right) \left( \prod_{i \in S \cap T} x_i \right) \left( \prod_{i \in T \setminus S} x_i \right) \left( \prod_{i \in T \cap S} x_i \right) = \prod_{i \in S \Delta T} x_i = \chi_{S \Delta T}(x).$$

### 3 Norms, Inner products

#### 3.1 Definitions

**Definition 4** We define the inner product of two functions  $f, g : \{\pm 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \mathbb{E}_x[f(x)g(x)].$$

**Definition 5** The induced norm is defined as

$$\|f\|_2 = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle} = \sqrt{\mathbb{E}_x[f(x)^2]}$$

and the corresponding metric is defined by

$$d(f, g) = \|f - g\|.$$

We also call  $\|f\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E}_x[f^2(x)]$  the weight of  $f$ .

**Theorem 6 (Cauchy-Schwartz inequality)**

$$|\langle f, g \rangle| \leq \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2$$

### Theorem 7 (Triangle inequality)

$$\|f + g\|_2 \leq \|f\|_2 + \|g\|_2$$

or alternatively  $\|\frac{f+g}{2}\|_2 \leq \frac{\|f\|_2 + \|g\|_2}{2}$  (namely  $\|\cdot\|$  is convex).

### Definition 8 (The $l_p$ norm)

$$\|f\|_p = \begin{cases} (\mathbb{E}_x[|f(x)|^p])^{\frac{1}{p}} & 1 \leq p < \infty \\ \max_x |f(x)| & p = \infty \end{cases}$$

**Remark** To show that the  $l_p$  norm is indeed a norm and satisfies the triangle inequality is not trivial and requires the Minkowski inequality, but we won't get into it here.

## 4 Fourier meets inner product

We now explore the behavior of  $\{\chi_S\}$  with respect to the inner product we just defined.

### 4.1 Orthonormality

We observe now that  $\{\chi_S\}$  is an orthonormal basis. Recall that we defined  $\chi_\emptyset(x) = 1$  and that the characters are unbiased, i.e.

$$\mathbb{E}_x[\chi_s(x)] = \begin{cases} 0 & s \neq \emptyset \\ 1 & s = \emptyset \end{cases}.$$

So now we can compute the inner product of two characters

$$\langle \chi_S, \chi_T \rangle = \mathbb{E}_x[\chi_S(x)\chi_T(x)] = \mathbb{E}_x[\chi_{S\Delta T}(x)] = \begin{cases} 0 & S \neq T \\ 1 & S = T \end{cases}.$$

This computation shows that  $\{\chi_S\}$  is indeed an orthonormal basis.

**Remark** The fact that  $\{\chi_S\}$  is an orthonormal basis, is also an alternative proof for the fact that it is indeed a basis.

### 4.2 Implications From Orthonormality

So far we have:

- $\{\chi_S\}$  is an orthonormal basis that contains multi-linear monomials which are common eigen vectors of all shift operators.
- $\{\chi_S\}$  is closed under multiplication, i.e.  $\chi_S\chi_T = \chi_{S\Delta T}$ .
- $\{\chi_S\}$  contains multiplicative functions, i.e.  $\chi_S(xy) = \chi_S(x)\chi_S(y)$ .

From this results we have some immediate corollaries. Let  $f, g : \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

**Corollary 9** From the fact that  $\{\chi_s\}$  is an orthonormal basis, we know from linear algebra that we can easily compute the coefficients with respect to that basis, the formula for the Fourier coefficient is  $\hat{f}(S) = \langle f, \chi_S \rangle$ .

**Corollary 10 (Plancharel)**

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \hat{g}(S) \quad (1)$$

This important formula follows from the following computation

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S, \sum_{T \subseteq [n]} \hat{g}(T) \chi_T \right\rangle = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \hat{g}(S) \langle \chi_S, \chi_S \rangle = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \hat{g}(S).$$

**Corollary 11 (Parseval)**

$$\|f\|^2 = \langle f, f \rangle = \mathbb{E}_x[f^2] = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^2 \quad (2)$$

This follows directly from (??). Moreover if  $f$  is a boolean function then  $\sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}^2(S) = 1$ .

**Corollary 12** With this formulas we can express the expectation and the variance in terms of the Fourier coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_x[f(x)] &= \mathbb{E}_x[f(x) \cdot 1] = \langle f, \chi_\emptyset \rangle = \hat{f}(\emptyset) \\ \mathbb{V}_x[f(x)] &= \mathbb{E}_x[f^2(x)] - (\mathbb{E}[f(x)])^2 = \sum_S \hat{f}^2(S) - \hat{f}^2(\emptyset) = \sum_{S \neq \emptyset} \hat{f}^2(S). \end{aligned}$$

### 4.3 Influence In Terms Of Fourier Coefficients

We can use the results we got so far and express the total influence of a real-valued function in terms of the fourier coefficients. Recall we defined the influence as

$$I_i(f) = \mathbb{E}_{x \setminus i} [\mathbb{V}_{x_i}[f(x)]] \quad (3)$$

**Remark** We use the notation  $f(x \setminus i, -1)$  to express  $f$  of  $x$  where the  $i$ -th coordinate is  $-1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{V}_{x_i}[f(x)] &= \mathbb{E}_{x_i}[f^2(x)] - (\mathbb{E}_{x_i}[f(x)])^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}f^2(x \setminus i, 1) + \frac{1}{2}f^2(x \setminus i, -1) - \left(\frac{1}{2}f(x \setminus i, 1) + \frac{1}{2}f(x \setminus i, -1)\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4}f^2(x \setminus i, 1) + \frac{1}{4}f^2(x \setminus i, -1) - \frac{2}{4}f(x \setminus i, 1)f(x \setminus i, -1) \\ &= \left(\frac{f(x \setminus i, 1) - f(x \setminus i, -1)}{2}\right)^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x_i} \left[ \left(\frac{f(x) - \sigma_i f(x)}{2}\right)^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

We assign this value in (3) and get

$$\begin{aligned} I_i(f) &= \mathbb{E}_{x \setminus i} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{x_i} \left[ \left( \frac{f(x) - \sigma_i f(x)}{2} \right)^2 \right] \right] = \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \left( \frac{f(x) - \sigma_i f(x)}{2} \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \left\| \frac{f(x) - \sigma_i f(x)}{2} \right\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now we can use the fact that the shift operator is linear and compute farther

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(x) - \sigma_i f(x)}{2} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_S \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(x) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_S \hat{f}(S) \sigma_i \chi_S(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_S \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(x) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{S:i \notin S} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(x) - \sum_{S:i \in S} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(x) \right) \\ &= \sum_{S:i \in S} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(x) \end{aligned}$$

So we have by (2)

$$\begin{aligned} I_i(f) &= \mathbb{E}_{x \setminus i} [\mathbb{V}_{x_i}[f(x)]] = \left\| \frac{f(x) - \sigma_i f(x)}{2} \right\|_2^2 \\ &= \left\| \sum_{S:i \in S} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(x) \right\|_2^2 = \sum_{S:i \in S} \hat{f}^2(S). \end{aligned}$$

With this simple formula we can easily express the total influence as

$$I(f) = \sum_i I_i(f) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} |S| \hat{f}^2(S) \quad (4)$$

#### 4.4 Applications

We can now use the tools we developed to get a much simpler proof for the theorem from last lecture

$$I(f) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} |S| \hat{f}^2(S) \geq \sum_{S \neq \emptyset} \hat{f}^2(S) = \mathbb{V}_x[f(x)] \quad (5)$$

moreover we can farther conclude that if  $f$  is boolean and balanced (i.e.  $\hat{f}(\emptyset) = \mathbb{E}_x[f(x)] = 0$ ) then by (2),  $I(f) \geq \sum_{S \neq \emptyset} \hat{f}^2(S) = 1$ .

Another application for example is to show that if  $f$  is boolean, balanced and  $I(f) = 1$  then  $f$  is linear, i.e.  $f = \sum_i \hat{f}(i) \chi_i(x) = \sum_i \hat{f}(i) x_i$ . By using (2), (4) and (5) we conclude that the only sets  $S$  for which  $\hat{f}(S) \neq 0$ , are the sets of size 1 which means that  $f$  is linear. Moreover since  $f$  is boolean then  $\exists i$  such that  $f(x) = x_i$  or  $f(x) = -x_i$  (dictatorship) because otherwise if  $\hat{f}(i), \hat{f}(j) \neq 0$  ( $i \neq j$ ) then for the possible four combinations of values for  $x_i, x_j$  we have four different values for the function, so the function cannot be boolean in contradiction.

**Remark** Next lecture we will show how to use this techniques to get robustness for this results.