AN ITERATIVE MODEL-CONSTRAINED GRAPH-CUT ALGORITHM FOR ABDOMINAL AORTIC ANEURYSM THROMBUS SEGMENTATION
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ABSTRACT
We present an iterative model-constrained graph-cut algorithm for the segmentation of Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm (AAA) thrombus. Given an initial segmentation of the aortic lumen, our method automatically segments the thrombus by iteratively coupling intensity-based graph min-cut segmentation and geometrical parametric model fitting. The geometrical model effectively constrains the graph min-cut segmentation from “leaking” to nearby veins and muscles. Experimental results on 8 AAA CTA datasets yield an average absolute volume difference of 8.04% and volumetric overlap error of 12.86% in less than two and a half minutes. Our evaluation shows that the proposed method is comparable to the interobserver error, and robust for the automatic segmentation of the AAA thrombus.

Index Terms— Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm thrombus, segmentation, model constrained graph min-cut.

1. INTRODUCTION
Abdominal Aortic Aneurysms (AAA) are a common and dangerous condition of the endovascular system. An AAA is formed when the arterial walls of the abdominal aorta are weakened, thus increasing the risk of rupture and internal bleeding. Contrast enhanced CT Angiography (CTA) is widely used for AAA evaluation, as it provides detailed images of the aortic anatomy, including the lumen, the calcifications, and the thrombus. Automatic segmentation and 3D reconstruction of the AAA from CTA images can be of clinical use to support decisions including rupture risk estimation based on the aneurysm diameter and volume, evaluation of endovascular repair, selection of stent type and size, and postoperative follow-up based AAA volume changes.

Although many segmentation methods for vascular structures have been developed (see for a current survey), the segmentation of the AAA thrombus remains a challenging task due to the intensity values overlap of the aortic wall and the aneurysm thrombus and its surrounding tissue. Several AAA thrombus segmentation methods have been recently developed. They include: 1) an interactive contour tracking method for axial slices; 2) a deformable model approach steered by a nonparametric statistical grey level appearance model of a prior lumen contour shape segmented interactively; 3) a level-set segmentation based on a parametric statistical model that combines both local and global features initialized with a rough surface; and 4) a deformable B-spline parametric model based on a nonparametric intensity distribution model. The main drawbacks of these methods are that they require significant user interaction to initialize the model and fine-tune the model parameters for steering the model deformation process. Often times, the optimization process converges to a local minimum and thus the resulting segmentation is not optimal.

The graph min-cut method is a global optimization approach that has proven to be effective in a variety of segmentation tasks, including vessels lumen segmentation. It classifies the voxel nodes that separate the object of interest from the background based on both weighted voxel adjacencies and prior intensity models of the object and the background. The advantages of the graph min-cut segmentation are that it is generic, nearly parameter-free, does not require initialization, and guarantees a globally optimal solution. However, since the graph min-cut method relies on an intensity model, it cannot always differentiate between the aortic thrombus and its surrounding tissue. The addition of geometrical shape constraint can help in discriminating and in guiding the optimization towards a more accurate segmentation. However, incorporating global constraints such as connectivity or geometrical parametric shape constraint turns the graph min-cut problem into an NP-hard problem for which only approximate global solutions are feasible.

In this paper, we present an iterative graph min-cut segmentation approach for the segmentation of the AAA thrombus that uses a hybrid model that combines intensity information with global geometrical parametric model constraint.
An iterative approach is used to estimate the latent model and to perform the segmentation. This coupling iteratively constrains the final global shape of the segmented surface, and thus provide accurate segmentation of the AAA thrombus. Experimental results on 8 datasets show that our method can segment the AAA thrombus accurately and that it is robust and applicable for routine clinical use.

2. METHOD

Given a CTA volume \( I \), we first compute a lumen segmentation and lumen centerline with the method described in [9]. Based on this segmentation, the goal is to separate the AAA thrombus (object) from the surrounding structures (background). The separation is defined by a labeling map \( M \) in which each voxel \( i \) is labeled as being either object or background. The standard graph min-cut approach [8] minimizes the energy function:

\[
E(M) = \sum_i \left( \phi(I_i|m_i) + \sum_j \psi(m_i, m_j) \right)
\]

where \( \phi(I_i|m_i) \) is the probability of voxel \( i \) to have the label \( m_i \) based on a given prior Intensity Probability Distribution Function (IPDF) model, and \( \psi(m_i, m_j) \) represents the probability that voxel \( i \) and its neighbor voxels \( j \) have different labels based on the intensity difference between them.

The model defined by this function has several drawbacks: 1) the prior intensity model \( \phi(I_i|m_i) \) does not include global shape information of the object; 2) its computation requires intensive user interaction; and 3) the estimated intensity model accuracy is limited. For the specific task of thrombus segmentation, a model that only relies on intensity distribution cannot properly separate between the thrombus and its surrounding tissue (Fig. 1).

To overcome these drawbacks, we propose to use a hybrid model that consists of both intensity and global geometrical shape constraint in a probabilistic framework that combines both model estimation and object segmentation. Our model is defined using the following energy function:

\[
E(M, \Theta) = \sum_i \left( \phi(I_i|m_i) \cdot \psi(m_i|\Theta) + \sum_j \psi(m_i, m_j|\Theta) \right)
\]

where \( \Theta \) is a geometrical parametric model describes the global shape of the required object. The probability that voxel \( i \) has the label \( m_i \) based on the intensity model \( \phi(I_i|m_i) \) is now multiplied by \( \psi(m_i|\Theta) \) which describes probability that the voxel have the label \( m_i \) based on estimated geometrical model, and \( \psi(m_i, m_j|\Theta) \) describes the probability that voxels \( i \) and \( j \) have different labels, considering both the intensity difference between the voxels and their spatial location with respect to the estimated geometrical model \( \Theta \).

Since \( \Theta \) is unknown, this function cannot be directly minimized. Instead, we use a two-step iterative approach [12]:

1. Estimation of the labeling map \( M \) while assuming a fixed geometric parametric model \( \Theta \)
2. Update of the geometrical parametric model \( \Theta \) and the object intensity model \( \phi(I_i|m_i) \) with the labeling map \( M \).

The two steps are iterated until convergence, i.e., until the \( M \) and \( \Theta \) do not change any more. We describe the two steps in detail next.

2.1. Labeling map estimation

The labeling map \( M \) is computed using the graph min-cut technique as follows. Let \( G = (V, E) \) be the image graph, where the graph nodes define as \( V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n, v_s, v_t\} \) such that node \( v_i \) corresponds to voxel \( i \) and terminal nodes \( v_s \) and \( v_t \) correspond to the object and background classes. The graph edges \( E = \{(v_i, v_s), (v_i, v_t), (v_s, v_t)\} \) consist of three groups: 1) edges \((v_i, v_s)\) from voxels to the object terminal node; 2) edges \((v_i, v_t)\) from voxels to the background terminal node; and, 3) edges \((v_s, v_t)\) between adjacent voxels (4 or 8 neighbors for 2D images, 6 or 26 neighbors for 3D images). The cost of a cut \( |C| \) that divides the graph into the
object class (source vertex) and the background class (target vertex) is defined as the sum of the weights of the cut edges \( e \in C \). The segmentation is the bipartite graph partition that minimizes the cost of the cut between the two parts.

Edge weights are assigned as follows. Edge weights \( w(v_i, v_s) \) represent the posterior probability that voxel \( v_i \) is related to the thrombus (object) based on a hybrid model intensity and geometric constraints model:

\[
w(v_i, v_s) = \phi(I_i|m_o) \cdot \psi(m_i = m_o|\Theta)
\]

where \( \phi(I_i|m_o) \) is the probability that the voxel \( i \) belongs to the object class \( m_o \) based on the voxel intensity and object IPDF. The term \( \psi(m_i = m_o|\Theta) \) is the probability that voxel label \( m_i \) is the object label \( m_o \) given the estimated geometrical model \( \Theta \).

Edge weights \( w(v_i, v_t) \) represent the probability of each voxel to belong to background class. Since we do not have a prior model for the background, we define it as the complement of the object prior model:

\[
w(v_i, v_t) = 1 - w(v_i, v_s)
\]

Edge weights \( w(v_i, v_j) \) represent the probability of these edge to represent the surface discriminate between the object and the background. It is based on a combination of the local gradient magnitude and the spatial location of the edge with respect to the estimated geometrical model \( \Theta \):

\[
w(v_i, v_j) = \exp \left( -\frac{(I_i - I_j)^2}{\sigma} \right) \cdot \psi(m_i, m_j|\Theta)
\]

where \( \sigma \) is a normalization constant, and \( \psi(m_i, m_j|\Theta) \) represents the probability that the voxels \( i \) and \( j \) have different labels given the estimated geometrical model.

### 2.2. Geometrical parametric model fitting

Given an initial segmentation, the next step is to fit the geometrical parametric model \( \Theta \) to it. Based on the observations in [4], the abdominal aortic thrombus can be modeled as a set of 2D axial ellipsoids. Thus, for each axial slice, we fit an ellipsoid using the Iterative Closest Point approach [13]. The fitting algorithm consists of three steps:

1. Collect a set of points \( P \) on the segmentation surface by computing the intersection between that surface and 360° rays centered on the previous slice ellipsoid center point.

2. Compute the distance from each point \( p_i \in P \) to the estimated ellipsoid surface using Euclidian distance map representation of the surface [14].

3. Fit a 2D parametric ellipsoid to the set of points \( P \) using Taubin’s least-squares method [15].

Steps 2 and 3 are applied iteratively, where on each iteration, only the \( n \) closest points to the computed ellipsoid remain in \( P \). This method provides robust and accurate fitting of a parametric model to the observed points set. Since the differences between nearby slices are relatively small, the estimated model for slice \( z \) is used to initialize the fitting algorithm for slice \( z + 1 \).

The coupling of min-cut segmentation and global geometrical model fitting yields a robust and accurate method that segment the thrombus successfully for different datasets with varying thrombus size and locations.

### 3. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We evaluated the performance of our method by automatically segmenting 8 AAA CTA datasets. The CTAs had \( 512 \times 512 \times 500 \) voxels with various physical voxel size (range 0.7-1.2mm).

The datasets included various sizes and locations of the thrombus. Some of them acquired after stent placement, and thus include strong streaking artifacts. Ground-truth segmentations of the thrombus for each dataset was obtained manually by a clinical radiologist. For each thrombus, the user
provided two seeds for the lumen segmentation. Then, the automatic thrombus segmentation algorithm was applied.

Fig. 2 presents our method’s results on several representative cases. Note that our method successfully separated between the thrombus and the surrounding structures such as veins, muscles, and fat.

Both volumetric (1-2), and surface (3) based measures were used to evaluate our method’s performance. The mean (std) values were: (1) absolute volume difference 8.04% (7.03%); (2) volumetric overlap error 12.86% (std=4.33%); (3) average symmetric surface distance 1.46mm (0.39mm).

The mean running time for entire segmentation, including both lumen and thrombus segmentation was 150sec (std=25sec) on a standard PC (dual-core 2.0GHZ processor and 4GB of memory). These results are comparable with previously reported interobserver errors, while much less user interaction is required compared to previously suggested methods.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented an automatic method for the accurate segmentation of AAA thrombus, given an initial lumen segmentation. Our approach applied iteratively, intensity based graph min-cut segmentation constrained by parametric model fitted to previous segmentation result. The fitted model constrained the graph min-cut segmentation from leaking to the thrombus nearby structures such as the veins and muscles. Our experimental results show that the tool is accurate, is easy to use, and is robust to varying thrombus locations and sizes, for both datasets with and without stents.
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