EE263 Autumn 2007-08 Stephen Boyd

Lecture 18 Controllability and state transfer

- state transfer
- reachable set, controllability matrix
- minimum norm inputs
- infinite-horizon minimum norm transfer

18-1

State transfer

consider $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$ (or x(t+1) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)) over time interval $[t_i, t_f]$

we say input $u:[t_i,t_f]\to \mathbf{R}^m$ steers or transfers state from $x(t_i)$ to $x(t_f)$ (over time interval $[t_i,t_f]$)

(subscripts stand for initial and final)

questions:

- where can $x(t_i)$ be transferred to at $t = t_f$?
- how quickly can $x(t_i)$ be transferred to some x_{target} ?
- how do we find a u that transfers $x(t_i)$ to $x(t_f)$?
- how do we find a 'small' or 'efficient' u that transfers $x(t_i)$ to $x(t_f)$?

Reachability

consider state transfer from x(0) = 0 to x(t)

we say x(t) is *reachable* (in t seconds or epochs)

we define $\mathcal{R}_t \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ as the set of points reachable in t seconds or epochs for CT system $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$,

$$\mathcal{R}_t = \left\{ \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)A} Bu(\tau) \ d\tau \ \middle| \ u : [0,t] \to \mathbf{R}^m \right\}$$

and for DT system x(t+1) = Ax(t) + Bu(t),

$$\mathcal{R}_t = \left\{ \left. \sum_{\tau=0}^{t-1} A^{t-1-\tau} B u(\tau) \right| u(t) \in \mathbf{R}^m \right\}$$

Controllability and state transfer

18-3

- ullet \mathcal{R}_t is a subspace of \mathbf{R}^n
- $\mathcal{R}_t \subseteq \mathcal{R}_s$ if $t \leq s$

 $(\it{i.e.}, \, {\sf can \, reach \, more \, points \, given \, more \, time})$

we define the *reachable set* \mathcal{R} as the set of points reachable for some t:

$$\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{t \ge 0} \mathcal{R}_t$$

Reachability for discrete-time LDS

DT system $x(t+1) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), x(t) \in \mathbf{R}^n$

$$x(t) = \mathcal{C}_t \left[\begin{array}{c} u(t-1) \\ \vdots \\ u(0) \end{array} \right]$$

where $\mathcal{C}_t = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} B & AB & \cdots & A^{t-1}B \end{array} \right]$

so reachable set at t is $\mathcal{R}_t = \operatorname{range}(\mathcal{C}_t)$

by C-H theorem, we can express each A^k for $k \geq n$ as linear combination of A^0, \dots, A^{n-1}

hence for $t \geq n$, range $(C_t) = \text{range}(C_n)$

Controllability and state transfer

18-5

thus we have

$$\mathcal{R}_t = \begin{cases} \operatorname{range}(\mathcal{C}_t) & t < n \\ \operatorname{range}(\mathcal{C}) & t \ge n \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_n$ is called the *controllability matrix*

- ullet any state that can be reached can be reached by t=n
- the reachable set is $\mathcal{R} = \operatorname{range}(\mathcal{C})$

Controllable system

system is called *reachable* or *controllable* if all states are reachable (*i.e.*, $\mathcal{R} = \mathbf{R}^n$)

system is reachable if and only if $\mathbf{Rank}(\mathcal{C}) = n$

example:
$$x(t+1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

controllability matrix is $\mathcal{C} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$

hence system is not controllable; reachable set is

$$\mathcal{R} = \text{range}(\mathcal{C}) = \{ x \mid x_1 = x_2 \}$$

Controllability and state transfer

18-7

General state transfer

with $t_f > t_i$,

$$x(t_f) = A^{t_f - t_i} x(t_i) + C_{t_f - t_i} \begin{bmatrix} u(t_f - 1) \\ \vdots \\ u(t_i) \end{bmatrix}$$

hence can transfer $x(t_i)$ to $x(t_f) = x_{des}$

$$\Leftrightarrow x_{\text{des}} - A^{t_f - t_i} x(t_i) \in \mathcal{R}_{t_f - t_i}$$

- general state transfer reduces to reachability problem
- ullet if system is controllable any state transfer can be achieved in $\leq n$ steps
- important special case: driving state to zero (sometimes called regulating or controlling state)

Least-norm input for reachability

assume system is reachable, $\mathbf{Rank}(\mathcal{C}_t) = n$

to steer x(0) = 0 to $x(t) = x_{\text{des}}$, inputs $u(0), \dots, u(t-1)$ must satisfy

$$x_{\text{des}} = \mathcal{C}_t \left[\begin{array}{c} u(t-1) \\ \vdots \\ u(0) \end{array} \right]$$

among all u that steer x(0) = 0 to $x(t) = x_{des}$, the one that minimizes

$$\sum_{\tau=0}^{t-1} \|u(\tau)\|^2$$

Controllability and state transfer

18-9

is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{\ln}(t-1) \\ \vdots \\ u_{\ln}(0) \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{C}_t^T (\mathcal{C}_t \mathcal{C}_t^T)^{-1} x_{\text{des}}$$

 u_{ln} is called *least-norm* or *minimum energy* input that effects state transfer

can express as

$$u_{\text{ln}}(\tau) = B^T (A^T)^{(t-1-\tau)} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{t-1} A^s B B^T (A^T)^s \right)^{-1} x_{\text{des}},$$

for $\tau = 0, ..., t - 1$

 \mathcal{E}_{\min} , the minimum value of $\sum_{ au=0}^{t-1}\|u(au)\|^2$ required to reach $x(t)=x_{\mathrm{des}}$, is sometimes called *minimum energy* required to reach $x(t)=x_{\mathrm{des}}$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\min} = \sum_{\tau=0}^{t-1} \|u_{\ln}(\tau)\|^{2}$$

$$= \left(\mathcal{C}_{t}^{T} (\mathcal{C}_{t} \mathcal{C}_{t}^{T})^{-1} x_{\operatorname{des}}\right)^{T} \mathcal{C}_{t}^{T} (\mathcal{C}_{t} \mathcal{C}_{t}^{T})^{-1} x_{\operatorname{des}}$$

$$= x_{\operatorname{des}}^{T} (\mathcal{C}_{t} \mathcal{C}_{t}^{T})^{-1} x_{\operatorname{des}}$$

$$= x_{\operatorname{des}}^{T} \left(\sum_{\tau=0}^{t-1} A^{\tau} B B^{T} (A^{T})^{\tau}\right)^{-1} x_{\operatorname{des}}$$

Controllability and state transfer

- 18-11
- $\mathcal{E}_{\min}(x_{\mathrm{des}},t)$ gives measure of how hard it is to reach $x(t)=x_{\mathrm{des}}$ from x(0)=0 (i.e., how large a u is required)
- $\mathcal{E}_{\min}(x_{\mathrm{des}},t)$ gives practical measure of controllability/reachability (as function of x_{des},t)
- ellipsoid $\{ \ z \mid \mathcal{E}_{\min}(z,t) \leq 1 \ \}$ shows points in state space reachable at t with one unit of energy

(shows directions that can be reached with small inputs, and directions that can be reached only with large inputs)

 \mathcal{E}_{\min} as function of t:

if $t \geq s$ then

$$\sum_{\tau=0}^{t-1} A^{\tau} B B^{T} (A^{T})^{\tau} \ge \sum_{\tau=0}^{s-1} A^{\tau} B B^{T} (A^{T})^{\tau}$$

hence

$$\left(\sum_{\tau=0}^{t-1} A^{\tau} B B^T (A^T)^{\tau}\right)^{-1} \leq \left(\sum_{\tau=0}^{s-1} A^{\tau} B B^T (A^T)^{\tau}\right)^{-1}$$

so
$$\mathcal{E}_{\min}(x_{\mathrm{des}},t) \leq \mathcal{E}_{\min}(x_{\mathrm{des}},s)$$


i.e.: takes less energy to get somewhere more leisurely

Controllability and state transfer


18-13

example:
$$x(t+1)=\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1.75 & 0.8 \\ -0.95 & 0 \end{array}\right]x(t)+\left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array}\right]u(t)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\min}(z,t)$$
 for $z=[1\ 1]^T$:



ellipsoids $\mathcal{E}_{\min} \leq 1$ for t = 3 and t = 10:



Controllability and state transfer

18-15

Minimum energy over infinite horizon

the matrix

$$P = \lim_{t \to \infty} \left(\sum_{\tau=0}^{t-1} A^{\tau} B B^{T} (A^{T})^{\tau} \right)^{-1}$$

always exists, and gives the minimum energy required to reach a point x_{des} (with no limit on t):

$$\min \left\{ \sum_{\tau=0}^{t-1} \|u(\tau)\|^2 \mid x(0) = 0, \ x(t) = x_{\text{des}} \right\} = x_{\text{des}}^T P x_{\text{des}}$$

if A is stable, P > 0 (i.e., can't get anywhere for free)

if A is not stable, then P can have nonzero nullspace

- \bullet $Pz=0,\,z\neq 0$ means can get to z using u 's with energy as small as you like
 - (u just gives a little kick to the state; the instability carries it out to z efficiently)
- basis of highly maneuverable, unstable aircraft

Controllability and state transfer

18-17

Continuous-time reachability

consider now $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$ with $x(t) \in \mathbf{R}^n$

reachable set at time t is

$$\mathcal{R}_t = \left\{ \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)A} Bu(\tau) \ d\tau \ \middle| \ u : [0,t] \to \mathbf{R}^m \right\}$$

fact: for t > 0, $\mathcal{R}_t = \mathcal{R} = \text{range}(\mathcal{C})$, where

$$\mathcal{C} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} B & AB & \cdots & A^{n-1}B \end{array} \right]$$

is the controllability matrix of (A,B)

- \bullet same ${\cal R}$ as discrete-time system
- for continuous-time system, any reachable point can be reached as fast as you like (with large enough u)

first let's show for any u (and x(0)=0) we have $x(t)\in \mathrm{range}(\mathcal{C})$ write e^{tA} as power series:

$$e^{tA} = I + \frac{t}{1!}A + \frac{t^2}{2!}A^2 + \cdots$$

by C-H, express A^n, A^{n+1}, \ldots in terms of A^0, \ldots, A^{n-1} and collect powers of A:

$$e^{tA} = \alpha_0(t)I + \alpha_1(t)A + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}(t)A^{n-1}$$

therefore

$$x(t) = \int_0^t e^{\tau A} B u(t - \tau) d\tau$$
$$= \int_0^t \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i(\tau) A^i \right) B u(t - \tau) d\tau$$

Controllability and state transfer

18-19

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^i B \int_0^t \alpha_i(\tau) u(t-\tau) d\tau$$
$$= Cz$$

where
$$z_i = \int_0^t \alpha_i(\tau) u(t-\tau) \ d\tau$$

hence, x(t) is always in $\mathrm{range}(\mathcal{C})$

need to show converse: every point in $\mathrm{range}(\mathcal{C})$ can be reached

Impulsive inputs

suppose $x(0_-)=0$ and we apply input $u(t)=\delta^{(k)}(t)f$, where $\delta^{(k)}$ denotes kth derivative of δ and $f\in\mathbf{R}^m$

then $U(s) = s^k f$, so

$$X(s) = (sI - A)^{-1}Bs^{k}f$$

$$= (s^{-1}I + s^{-2}A + \cdots)Bs^{k}f$$

$$= (\underline{s^{k-1} + \cdots + sA^{k-2} + A^{k-1}} + s^{-1}A^{k} + \cdots)Bf$$
impulsive terms

hence

$$x(t) = \text{ impulsive terms } + A^k B f + A^{k+1} B f \frac{t}{1!} + A^{k+2} B f \frac{t^2}{2!} + \cdots$$

in particular, $x(0_+) = A^k B f$

Controllability and state transfer

18-21

thus, input $u=\delta^{(k)}f$ transfers state from $x(0_-)=0$ to $x(0_+)=A^kBf$ now consider input of form

$$u(t) = \delta(t)f_0 + \dots + \delta^{(n-1)}(t)f_{n-1}$$

where $f_i \in \mathbf{R}^m$

by linearity we have

$$x(0_{+}) = Bf_{0} + \dots + A^{n-1}Bf_{n-1} = \mathcal{C} \begin{bmatrix} f_{0} \\ \vdots \\ f_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

hence we can reach any point in range(C)

(at least, using impulse inputs)

can also be shown that any point in $\mathrm{range}(\mathcal{C})$ can be reached for any t>0 using *nonimpulsive* inputs


fact: if $x(0) \in \mathcal{R}$, then $x(t) \in \mathcal{R}$ for all t (no matter what u is) to show this, need to show $e^{tA}x(0) \in \mathcal{R}$ if $x(0) \in \mathcal{R}$...

Controllability and state transfer

18-23

Example

- ullet unit masses at y_1 , y_2 , connected by unit springs, dampers
- input is tension between masses
- state is $x = [y^T \ \dot{y}^T]^T$



system is

$$\dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} u$$

- can we maneuver state anywhere, starting from x(0) = 0?
- if not, where can we maneuver state?

controllability matrix is

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} B & AB & A^2B & A^3B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -2 \\ 1 & -2 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

hence reachable set is

$$\mathcal{R} = \operatorname{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

we can reach states with $y_1=-y_2$, $\dot{y}_1=-\dot{y}_2$, i.e., precisely the differential motions

it's obvious — internal force does not affect center of mass position or total momentum!

Controllability and state transfer

18-25

Least-norm input for reachability

(also called minimum energy input)

assume that $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$ is reachable

we seek u that steers x(0) = 0 to $x(t) = x_{\rm des}$ and minimizes

$$\int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|^2 d\tau$$

let's discretize system with interval h=t/N

(we'll let $N \to \infty$ later)

thus u is piecewise constant:

$$u(\tau) = u_d(k)$$
 for $kh \le \tau < (k+1)h$, $k = 0, ..., N-1$

SO

$$x(t) = \begin{bmatrix} B_d \ A_d B_d \ \cdots \ A_d^{N-1} B_d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_d(N-1) \\ \vdots \\ u_d(0) \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$A_d = e^{hA}, \quad B_d = \int_0^h e^{\tau A} \, d\tau B$$

least-norm u_d that yields $x(t) = x_{des}$ is

$$u_{\text{dln}}(k) = B_d^T (A_d^T)^{(N-1-k)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} A_d^i B_d B_d^T (A_d^T)^i \right)^{-1} x_{\text{des}}$$

let's express in terms of A:

$$B_d^T (A_d^T)^{(N-1-k)} = B_d^T e^{(t-\tau)A^T}$$

Controllability and state transfer

18-27

where $\tau = t(k+1)/N$

for N large, $B_d \approx (t/N)B$, so this is approximately

$$(t/N)B^T e^{(t-\tau)A^T}$$

similarly

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} A_d^i B_d B_d^T (A_d^T)^i &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} e^{(ti/N)A} B_d B_d^T e^{(ti/N)A^T} \\ &\approx (t/N) \int_0^t e^{\bar{t}A} B B^T e^{\bar{t}A^T} \ d\bar{t} \end{split}$$

for large N

hence least-norm discretized input is approximately

$$u_{\rm ln}(\tau) = B^T e^{(t-\tau)A^T} \left(\int_0^t e^{\bar{t}A} B B^T e^{\bar{t}A^T} d\bar{t} \right)^{-1} x_{\rm des}, \quad 0 \le \tau \le t$$

for large N

hence, this is the least-norm continuous input

- ullet can make t small, but get larger u
- cf. DT solution: sum becomes integral

Controllability and state transfer

18-29

min energy is

$$\int_0^t ||u_{\rm ln}(\tau)||^2 d\tau = x_{\rm des}^T Q(t)^{-1} x_{\rm des}$$

where

$$Q(t) = \int_0^t e^{\tau A} B B^T e^{\tau A^T} d\tau$$

can show

$$(A,B)$$
 controllable $\Leftrightarrow Q(t)>0$ for all $t>0$ $\Leftrightarrow Q(s)>0$ for some $s>0$

in fact, $\mathrm{range}(Q(t)) = \mathcal{R}$ for any t>0

Minimum energy over infinite horizon

the matrix

$$P = \lim_{t \to \infty} \left(\int_0^t e^{\tau A} B B^T e^{\tau A^T} d\tau \right)^{-1}$$

always exists, and gives minimum energy required to reach a point x_{des} (with no limit on t):

$$\min \left\{ \int_0^t ||u(\tau)||^2 d\tau \mid x(0) = 0, \ x(t) = x_{\text{des}} \right\} = x_{\text{des}}^T P x_{\text{des}}$$

- if A is stable, P > 0 (i.e., can't get anywhere for free)
- ullet if A is not stable, then P can have nonzero nullspace
- Pz = 0, $z \neq 0$ means can get to z using u's with energy as small as you like (u just gives a little kick to the state; the instability carries it out to z efficiently)

Controllability and state transfer

18-31

General state transfer

consider state transfer from $x(t_i)$ to $x(t_f) = x_{\mathrm{des}}$, $t_f > t_i$

since


$$x(t_f) = e^{(t_f - t_i)A} x(t_i) + \int_{t_i}^{t_f} e^{(t_f - \tau)A} Bu(\tau) d\tau$$

u steers $x(t_i)$ to $x(t_f) = x_{des} \Leftrightarrow$

u (shifted by t_i) steers x(0) = 0 to $x(t_f - t_i) = x_{\text{des}} - e^{(t_f - t_i)A}x(t_i)$

- general state transfer reduces to reachability problem
- if system is controllable, any state transfer can be effected
 - in 'zero' time with impulsive inputs
 - in any positive time with non-impulsive inputs

Example



- unit masses, springs, dampers
- ullet u_1 is force between 1st & 2nd masses
- ullet u_2 is force between 2nd & 3rd masses
- $y \in \mathbf{R}^3$ is displacement of masses 1,2,3

$$\bullet \ \ x = \left[\begin{array}{c} y \\ \dot{y} \end{array} \right]$$

Controllability and state transfer

18-33


system is:

$$\dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

steer state from $x(0)=e_1$ to $x(t_f)=0$

 $\it i.e.$, control initial state $\it e_1$ to zero at $\it t=t_f$


$$\mathcal{E}_{\min} = \int_0^{t_f} \|u_{\ln}(au)\|^2 \ d au$$
 vs. t_f :




Controllability and state transfer

18-35

for $t_f = 3$, $u = u_{ln}$ is:




and for $t_f = 4$:




Controllability and state transfer

18-37

output y_1 for u=0:



output y_1 for $u=u_{\ln}$ with $t_f=3$:



Controllability and state transfer

18-39

output y_1 for $u=u_{\ln}$ with $t_f=4$:

