

Digital Communication in the Modern World

Application Layer cont. DNS

<http://www.cs.huji.ac.il/~com1>
com1@cs.huji.ac.il

*Some of the slides have been borrowed from:
Computer Networking: A Top Down Approach Featuring the Internet,
2nd edition,
Jim Kurose, Keith Ross
Addison-Wesley, July 2002.*

DNS: Domain Name System

People: many identifiers:

- SSN, name, passport #

Internet hosts, routers:

- IP address (32 bit) - used for addressing datagrams
- "name", e.g., gaia.cs.umass.edu - used by humans

Q: map between IP addresses and name ?

Domain Name System:

- *distributed database* implemented in hierarchy of many *name servers*
- *application-layer protocol* host, routers, name servers to communicate to *resolve* names (address/name translation)
 - note: core Internet function, implemented as application-layer protocol
 - complexity at network's "edge"

DNS name servers

Why not centralize DNS?

- single point of failure
- traffic volume
- distant centralized database
- maintenance

doesn't *scale!*

- no server has all name-to-IP address mappings

local name servers:

- each ISP, company has *local (default) name server*
- host DNS query first goes to local name server

authoritative name server:

- for a host: stores that host's IP address, name
- can perform name/address translation for that host's name

DNS: Root name servers

- contacted by local name server that can not resolve name
- root name server:
 - contacts authoritative name server if name mapping not known
 - gets mapping
 - returns mapping to local name server




13 root name servers worldwide

Simple DNS example

host `surf.eurecom.fr` wants IP address of `gaia.cs.umass.edu`


1. contacts its local DNS server, `dns.eurecom.fr`
2. `dns.eurecom.fr` contacts root name server, if necessary
3. root name server contacts authoritative name server, `dns.umass.edu`, if necessary



DNS example

Root name server:

- may not know authoritative name server
- may know *intermediate name server*: who to contact to find authoritative name server




DNS: iterated queries

recursive query:

- puts burden of name resolution on contacted name server
- heavy load?

iterated query:

- contacted server replies with name of server to contact
- "I don't know this name, but ask this server"



DNS: caching and updating records

- once (any) name server learns mapping, it *caches* mapping
 - cache entries timeout (disappear) after some time
- update/notify mechanisms under design by IETF
 - RFC 2136
 - <http://www.ietf.org/html.charters/dnsind-charter.html>

DNS records

DNS: distributed db storing resource records (RR)

RR format: (name, value, type,ttl)


- Type=A
 - name is hostname
 - value is IP address
- Type=NS
 - name is domain (e.g. foo.com)
 - value is IP address of authoritative name server for this domain
- Type=CNAME
 - name is alias name for some "canonical" (the real) name
www.ibm.com is really servereast.backup2.ibm.com
 - value is canonical name
- Type=MX
 - value is name of mailserver associated with name

DNS protocol, messages


DNS protocol: query and reply messages, both with same message format

msg header

- identification: 16 bit # for query, reply to query uses same #
- flags:
 - query or reply
 - recursion desired
 - recursion available
 - reply is authoritative




DNS protocol, messages



Web caches (proxy server)

Goal: satisfy client request without involving origin server

- user sets browser: Web accesses via cache
- browser sends all HTTP requests to cache
 - object in cache: cache returns object
 - else cache requests object from origin server, then returns object to client



More about Web caching

- ❑ Cache acts as both client and server
- ❑ Cache can do up-to-date check using `If-modified-since` HTTP header
 - Issue: should cache take risk and deliver cached object without checking?
 - Heuristics are used.
- ❑ Typically cache is installed by ISP (university, company, residential ISP)

Why Web caching?

- ❑ Reduce response time for client request.
- ❑ Reduce traffic on an institution's access link.
- ❑ Internet dense with caches enables "poor" content providers to effectively deliver content


Caching example (1)

Assumptions

- ❑ average object size = 100,000 bits
- ❑ avg. request rate from institution's browser to origin servers = 15/sec
- ❑ delay from institutional router to any origin server and back to router = 2 sec

Consequences

- ❑ utilization on LAN = 15%
- ❑ utilization on access link = 100%
- ❑ total delay = Internet delay + access delay + LAN delay
= 2 sec + minutes + milliseconds




Caching example (2)

Possible solution

- ❑ increase bandwidth of access link to, say, 10 Mbps

Consequences

- ❑ utilization on LAN = 15%
- ❑ utilization on access link = 15%
- ❑ Total delay = Internet delay + access delay + LAN delay
= 2 sec + msec + msec
- ❑ often a costly upgrade



Caching example (3)

Install cache

- ❑ suppose hit rate is .4

Consequence

- ❑ 40% requests will be satisfied almost immediately
- ❑ 60% requests satisfied by origin server
- ❑ utilization of access link reduced to 60%, resulting in negligible delays (say 10 msec)
- ❑ total delay = Internet delay + access delay + LAN delay
= .6*2 sec + .6*.01 sec + milliseconds < 1.3 sec

