

- Q. We have two BSTs, A having n nodes and B having m nodes, where n ≥ m. We would like to find their intersection (values that appear in both trees) so we decide to perform an inorder traversal of A and search for each value in B. What is the worst-case running time of our approach? What if we traverse BST B and search for the values in A?
- A. We traverse through all the members of A (n members). For each of these members we perform a search in B. Since the running time of search is proportional to the height of the tree B which is m in the worst case the total.
- Q. This time A and B are AVL trees. What is the worst-case running time of our approach? What if we traverse B and search for the values in A?

A. We traverse through all the members of A (n members). For each of these members we perform a search in B. Since the running time of search is proportional to the height of the tree B which is O(log(m)) in the worst case ⇒ The total running time is O(n*log(m)).

Traversing through **B** and searching for the members of **A** yields arounning time of

```
Q. Can you think of a better approach?
    We dump the trees into lists (inorder traversal: O(n) + O(m))
Α.
     and find the intersection between the lists.
     Finding the intersection between two sorted lists is linear in
     the number of elements in both lists:
     List listsIntersection(L1,L2) {
       L3 = new List;
       while(L1 != NULL && L2 != NULL) {
              if (L1.data ≤ L2.data) {
    if (L1.data == L2.data) {
                             L3.add(L1.data);
                             L2 = L2.next; 
                      L1 = L1.next;
              else { L2 = L2.next; } }
       return L3;
     in each iteration we advance at least one of the pointers: The
    total number of iterations \leq n+m
                                DAST 2005
```

```
Q. We have two AVL trees of height h such that all elements in A are smaller than all elements in B, write an efficient algorithm for building an AVL tree C containing all the members of A and B, what is its complexity?
```

```
A. Remove the maximum of A (O(h)), use it as a root for C (A is the left subtree, while B is the right subtree)
```

Q. What if A is higher than B by 2?

A. We can still merge the trees efficiently like in the previous case but we might have to fix C using rotations.

DAST 2005

DFS - pseudo code DFS(G) //initializing. for each vertex ueV[G] { u.color = white; u.prev = nil; } time = 0; for each vertex u eV[G] { if (u.color == white) DFS-VISIT(u) } DES_VISIT(u) }

DFS - pseudo code (cont.) DFS-VISIT(u) u.color = gray; u.d = ++time; for each vertex v€adj[u] { if (v.color == white) { v.prev = u; DFS-VISIT(v); } } u.color = black; u.f = ++time; DAST 2005

- **Q.** Let G be a graph having |V| vertices and |E| edges What is the asymptotic time complexity of running DFS on G when G is represented using: (a) an adjacency list? (b) an adjacency matrix? When will the asymptotic time complexity be identical? When will we have the largest difference?
- A. The first stage goes through all the vertices (O(|V|) regardless of the representation)
 In the second stage we have a constant amount of work for each potential edge, which is O(|E|) in case of an adjacency list and O(|V²|) in case of an adjacency matrix.
 In full graphs O(|E|) == O(|V²|), the sparser the graph, the bigger the difference (in a tree O(|E|) == O(|V|))

DAST 2005







- Q. Is it true that in an undirected graph G, if there is a path between two vertices u and v then in the DFS-tree(s) of G, either v is a sibling of u or u is a sibling of v. Proof or give a counter example
 A. False (in the previous graph there is a path from C to F yet
- A. False (in the previous graph there is a path from C to F yet they are not siblings of one another)

DAST 2005

Q. Suggest an algorithm (pseudo-code) for labeling (with labels 1,2,...) the connected components in an undirected graph (all vertices in the same connected component should have the same label). What is it's complexity?

- A. This is a very small modification of the DFS algorithm. Instead of coloring each finished node in black we color it with a label. We increase the label for each connected component (outer loop)
- \Box the total time complexity is therefore O(|V+E|)

DAST 2005



Q.	q4,5 from ex7
	DAST 2005