	An Introduction to Multiagent System
	1 Pitfalls of Agent Development
	<ul> <li>Lots of (single and multi-) agent projects but agent-oriented development recvd little attention.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>We now consider pragmatics of AO software projects.</li> </ul>
LECTURE 10: METHODOLOGIES	<ul> <li>Identifies key pitfalls.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Seven categories:</li> </ul>
An Introduction to Multiagent Systems	– political;
http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/	<ul> <li>management;</li> </ul>
	- conceptual;
	<ul> <li>– analysis and design;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>micro (agent) level;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>macro (society) level;</li> </ul>
	- implementation.
	http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/
re 10 An Introduction to Multiagent Systems	An Introduction to Multiagent System
1.1 You Oversell Agents	1.2 You Get Religious
Agents are not magic!	
<ul> <li>If you can't do it with ordinary software, you probably can't do it with agents.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Agents have been used in a wide range of applications, but they are not a universal solution.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>No evidence that any system developed using agent technology could not have been built just as easily using non-agent</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>For many applications, conventional software paradigms (e.g., OO) are more appropriate.</li> </ul>
techniques.	<ul> <li>Given a problem for which an agent and a non-agent approach appear equally good prefer non-agent solution!</li> </ul>
- Agents may make it easier to solve certain classes of problems	<ul> <li>In summary: danger of believing that agents are the right</li> </ul>
Agents are not Al by a back door.	solution to every problem.
Don't equate agents and AI.	<ul> <li>Other form of dogma: believing in your agent definition.</li> </ul>

://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/

N

http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/

re 10 An Introduction to Multiagent Systems		<ul> <li>Often, projects appear to b</li> </ul>
1.3 Don't Know Why You Want Agents		no vision about where to get • The lesson: understand you
Agents = new technology = lots of hype! "Agents will generate US\$2.6 billion in revenue by the year 2000"		development project, and w
Managerial reaction: "we can get 10% of that".		
<ul> <li>Managers often propose agent projects without having clear idea about what "having agents" will buy them.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>No business plan for the project:</li> </ul>		
– pure research?		
<ul> <li>technology vendor?</li> <li>solutions vendor?</li> </ul>		
I ::		
	_	
re 10 An Introduction to Multiagent Systems		Lecture 10
1.4 Don't Know What Agents Are Good For		1.5 Generic Solut
<ul> <li>Having developed some agent technology, you search for an application to use them.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>The "yet another agent test</li> <li>Devising an architecture or</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Putting the cart before the horse!</li> <li>Leads to mismatches/dissatisfaction</li> </ul>		range agent systems to be system.
The lesson: be sure you understand how and where your new technology may be most usefully applied. Do not attempt to apply it to arbitrary problems & resist temptation to apply it to every problem.		<ul> <li>Re-use is difficult to attain uality a close knit range of proble</li> <li>General solutions are more often need tailoring to differ</li> </ul>
://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/		http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/

σı

<ul> <li>The "yet another agent testbed" syndrome.</li> <li>Devising an architecture or testbed that supposedly enables a range agent systems to be built, when you really need a one-system</li> </ul>	1.5 Generic Solutions to 1-Off Problems	Lecture 10 An Introduction to Multiagent Systems
	<ul> <li>The "yet another agent testbed" syndrome.</li> <li>Devising an architecture or testbed that supposedly enables a range agent systems to be built, when you really need a one-off system</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1.5 Generic Solutions to 1-Off Problems</li> <li>The "yet another agent testbed" syndrome.</li> <li>Devising an architecture or testbed that supposedly enables a range agent systems to be built, when you really need a one-off system</li> </ul>

Lecture 10 An Introduction to Multiagent Systems
<ul> <li>Often, projects appear to be going well. ("We have agents!") But no vision about where to go with them.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>The lesson: understand your reasons for attempting an agent development project, and what you expect to gain from it.</li> </ul>

<b>1.6 Confuse Prototypes with Systems</b> Prototypes are easy (particularly with nice GUI builders!)         Field tested production systems are hard.         Process of scaling up from single-machine multi-threaded Java app to multi-user system <i>much</i> harder than it appears.         re 10       An Introduction to Multiagent Systems         Useful developments in software engineering: <i>abstractions</i> .         Agents are another abstraction.
---

rail of software engineering is a "silver bullet": a order of tude improvement in software development. ologies promoted as the silver bullet:
An Introduction to Multiagent Systems 1.7 Believe Agents = Silver Bullet

re 10

An Introduction to Multiagent Systems

Lecture 10

- Holy gr magnit Techno
- COBOL :-)
- automatic programming;
- expert systems;
- graphical programming;
- formal methods (!)
- Agent technology is not a silver bullet.
- Good reasons to believe that agents are useful way of tackling some problems.
- But these arguments largely untested in practice.

http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/

ശ

<ul> <li>theory of human practical reasoning (Bratman et al);</li> <li>agent architectures (PRS, dMARS,);</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>theory of human practical reasoning (Bratman et al);</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Good example: the belief-desire-intention (BDI) model.</li> </ul>
(The AI & party syndrome: everyone has an opinion. However uninformed.)
<ul> <li>Encourages developers to believe that they understand concepts when they do not.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>The idea of an agent is extremely intuitive.</li> </ul>
1.8 Confuse Buzzwords & Concepts
Lecture 10 An Introduction to Multiagent Systems

://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/

10

http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/

Label "BDI" now been applied to WWW pages/perl scripts.

- logic of practical reasoning (Rao & Georgeff).

http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/

13

<ul> <li>Make use of DS expertise.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Typical multi-agent system will be <i>more</i> complex than a typical distributed system.</li> <li>Recognise distributed systems problems.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Problems of distribution do not go away, just because a system is agent-based.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Multi-agent systems tend to be distributed!</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Distributed systems = one of the most complex classes of computer system to design and implement.</li> </ul>	Forget its distributed	An Introduction to Multiagent Systems	
	a typical	a system is		es of		Multiagent Systems	

<ul> <li>Fequent justification: software engineering for agent systems is none-existent.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Result a foregone conclusion: project flounders, not because agent problems, but because basic software engineering ignored.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Mundane software engineering (requirements analysis, specification, design, verification, testing) is forgotten.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Project plans focus on the agenty bits.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>This encourages developers to forget they are developing software!</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Developing any agent system is essentially experimentation.</li> <li>No tried and trusted techniques</li> </ul>
1.9 Forget it's Software
Lecture 10 An Introduction to Multiagent Systems

http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/

<ul> <li>1.10 Don't Exploit Related Technology</li> <li>In any agent system, percentage of the system that is agent-specific is comparatively small.</li> <li>The raising bread model of Winston.</li> <li>Therefore important that conventional technologies and techniques are exploited wherever possible.</li> <li>Don't reinvent the wheel. (Yet another communication framework.)</li> <li>Exploitation of related technology: <ul> <li>speeds up development;</li> <li>avoids re-inventing wheel;</li> <li>focusses effort on agent component.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Example: CORBA.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Don't reinvent the wheel. (Yet another communication framework.)</li> <li>Exploitation of related technology:</li> </ul>
- speeds up development;
<ul> <li>avoids re-inventing wheel;</li> <li>focusses effort on agent component.</li> </ul>
• Example: CORBA.
An Introduction to Multiagent Systems
1.12 Want Your Own Architecture
<ul> <li>Agent architectures: designs for building agents.</li> <li>Many agent architectures have been proposed over the years.</li> </ul>
Great temptation to imagine you need your own.
<ul> <li>Driving forces behind this belief:</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>- "not designed here" mindset;</li> <li>- intellectual property.</li> </ul>
Problems:
<ul> <li>architecture development takes years;</li> <li>no clear payback.</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>Only apply the architecture to problems with similar characteristics.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>If you have developed an architecture that has successfully been applied to some particular problem, understand why it succeeded with that particular problem.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Any architecture that is truly generic is by definition not an architecture</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Different architectures good for different problems.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Leads one to apply an architecture to problem for which it is patently unsuited.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>If you do develop an architecture, resist temptation to believe it is generic.</li> </ul>
1.13 Think Your Architecture is Generic
Lecture 10 An Introduction to Multiagent Systems
http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/ 17
If you don't exploit concurrency, why have an agent solution?

re 10

An Introduction to Multiagent Systems

Lecture 10

- or resource related lines. Examples: decompose along functional, organisational, physical,
- One of the most obvious features of a poor multi-agent design is small or even in extreme cases non-existent. that the amount of concurrent problem solving is comparatively
- Serial processing in distributed system!
- Only ever a single thread of control: concurrency, one of the exploited. most important potential advantages of multi-agent solutions not

19

://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/

18

http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/

Recommendation: buy one, take one off the shelf, or do without.

1.14 Use Too Much Al	
Temptation to focus on the agent specific aspects of the application.	1.15 Not Enough Al
Result: an agent framework too overburdened with experimental AI techniques to be usable.	<ul> <li>Don't call your on-off switch an agent!</li> <li>Be realistic: it is becoming common to find everyday distributed</li> </ul>
Fuelled by "feature envy", where one reads about agents that have the ability to learn, plan, talk, sing, dance	<ul> <li>Another common example: referring to WWW pages that have</li> </ul>
Resist the temptation to believe such features are essential in your agent system.	<ul> <li>Problems:</li> </ul>
The lesson: build agents with a minimum of Al; as success is obtained with such systems, progressively evolve them into richer systems.	<ul> <li>lead to the term "agent" losing any meaning;</li> <li>raises expectations of software recipients</li> </ul>
What Etzioni calls "useful first" strategy.	<ul> <li>leads to cynicism on the part of software developers</li> </ul>
://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/ 20	http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/
re 10 An Introduction to Multiagent Systems	Lecture 10 An Introduction to Multiagent System
	1.17 Too Many Agents
1.16 See agents everywhere	<ul> <li>Agents don't have to be complex to generate complex behaviour.</li> </ul>
"Pure" A-O system = everything is an agent! Agents for addition, subtraction,	<ul> <li>Large number of agents:</li> <li>– emergent functionality;</li> </ul>
Naively viewing everything as an agent is inappropiate. Choose the right grain size.	• Lessons:
More than 10 agents = big system.	<ul> <li>keep interactions to a minimum;</li> <li>keep protocols simple;</li> </ul>
://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/ 22	http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/

http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/	://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/
<ul> <li>Many practical problems in building distributed systems, from mundane to research level.</li> <li>With simulated distribution, there is the possibility of centralised control; in truly distributed systems, such centralised control is not possible.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>For large systems, or for systems in which the society is supposed to act with some commonality of purpose, this is particularly true.</li> <li>Organisation structure (even in the form of formal communication channels) is essential.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A tendency to assume that results obtained with simulated distribution will immediately scale up to real distribution.</li> <li>A dangerous fallacy: distributed systems are an order of magnitude more difficult to design implement test debug and the design implement test debug and test de</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Cannot simply bundle a group of agents together.</li> <li>Most agent systems require system-level engineering.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1.21 Confuse simulated with real parallelism</li> <li>Every multi-agent system starts life on a single computer. Agents are often implemented as UNIX processes, lightweight processes in C, or JAVA threads.</li> </ul>	1.20 System is anarchic
An Introduction to Multiagent Systems	An Introduction to Multiagent Systems
<ul> <li>by the time tims is developed, project resources gone:</li> <li>No effort devoted to agent-specifics.</li> <li>http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/</li> </ul>	• Fails software engineering test of <i>coherence</i> .
<ul> <li>Such platforms would provide all the basic infrastructure required to create a multi-agent system.</li> <li>The result: everyone builds there own.</li> <li>By the time this is developed project resources nonel</li> </ul>	task. Others don't recognise value of a multi-agent approach at all. One "all powerful" agent. Result is like OO program with 1 class.
<ul> <li>1.19 Implementing infrastructure</li> <li>There are no widely-used software platforms for developing</li> </ul>	1.18 Too few agents Some designers imagine a separate agent for every possible
Lecture 10 An Introduction to Multiagent Systems	re 10 An Introduction to Multiagent Systems

1.23 Ignore de facto standards.         • There are no established agent standards.         • Developers often believe they have no choice but to design ar build all agent-specific components from scratch.         • But here are some de facto standards.         • CORBA;         • HTML;         • FIPA.    Nutry: //www.cec.liv.ac.uk/* ng/y-puble/ laws/        • Why mobile agents?         • Uwhy mobile agents?         • Ow-bandwidth networks (hand-held PDAs, such as NEWTO - efficient use of network resources.         • Inere are many issues that need to be addressed when build software tools that can support mobile agents         • security for hosts and agents;         • heterogeneity of hosts;         • heterogeneity of hosts;         • heterogeneity of hosts;         • dynamic linking.	://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/	Prove procedure calls (a) under the formation of the form	An Introduction to Multiagent Systems	<ul> <li>1.22 The tabula rasa</li> <li>When building systems using new technology, often an assumption that it is necessary to start from a "blank slate".</li> <li>Often, most important components of a software system will be <i>legacy</i>: functionally essential, but technologically obsolete software components, which cannot readily be rebuilt.</li> <li>Such systems often mission critical.</li> <li>When proposing a new software solution, essential to work <i>with</i> such components</li> <li>They can be incorporated into an agent system by <i>wrapping</i> them with an <i>agent layer</i>.</li> </ul>
<i>le facto stand</i> gent standards. ey have no choi ponents from sc standards. (hand-held PD/ resources. t need to be add port mobile agei jents;				
ling ); ystems 29		<ul> <li>Why mobile agents?</li> <li>Iow-bandwidth networks (hand-held PDAs, such as NEWTON);</li> <li>efficient use of network resources.</li> <li>There are <i>many</i> issues that need to be addressed when building software tools that can support mobile agents</li> <li>security for hosts and agents;</li> <li>heterogeneity of hosts;</li> <li>dynamic linking.</li> </ul>	Lecture 10 An Introduction to Multiagent Systems	<ul> <li>1.23 Ignore <i>de facto</i> standards.</li> <li>There are no established agent standards.</li> <li>Developers often believe they have no choice but to design and build all agent-specific components from scratch.</li> <li>But here <i>are</i> some <i>de facto</i> standards.</li> <li>Examples: <ul> <li>CORBA;</li> <li>HTML;</li> <li>KOML;</li> <li>FIPA.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/ 34	Security for Agents         Agents have a right to privacy!         We often do not want to send out our programs, as to do so:         might enable the recipient to determine its purpose, and hence         our intent.         The agent might be modified (sabotaged!) in some way, without         its owners knowledge or approval.         An agent can be protected in transit by using conventional         encryption techniques (e.g., PGP).         In order to ensure that an agent is not tampered with, it is         possible to use <i>digital watermarks</i> — rather like check digits.	re 10 An Introduction to Multiagent Systems	<ul> <li>Security for Hosts</li> <li>e do not want to execute foreign programs on our machine, as is would present enormous <i>security</i> risks:</li> <li>If the agent programming language supports pointers, then there is the danger of agents corrupting the address space of the host ⇒ many agent languages don't have pointers!</li> <li>UNIX-like access rights on host;</li> <li>safe libraries for access to filestore, process space, etc;</li> <li>some actions (e.g., sending mail) are harmless in some circumstances, but dangerous in others — how to tell?</li> </ul>
http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/ 35	<ul> <li>Heterogeneity of Hosts</li> <li>Unless we are happy for our agents to be executed on just one type of machine (Mac, PC, SPARC,), then we must provide facilities for executing the same agent on many different types of machine.</li> <li>This implies: <ul> <li>interpreted language:</li> <li>compiled languages imply reduction to machine code, which is clearly system dependent — reduced efficiency; (perhaps use virtual machine technology);</li> <li>dynamic linking:</li> <li>libraries that access local resources must provide a common interface to different environments.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Lecture 10 An Introduction to Multiagent Systems	<ul> <li>some agent languages (e.g., TELESCRIPT) provide limits on the amount of e.g., memory &amp; processor time that an agent can access;</li> <li>secure co-processors are a solution — have a physically separate processor on which the agent is run, such that processor is in 'quarantine' ('padded cell').</li> <li>Some agent languages allow security properties of an agent to be verified on receipt.</li> <li>Hosts must handle crashed programs cleanly — what do you tell an owner when their agent crashes?</li> <li>Trusted agents?</li> </ul>

http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/ 39	://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/ 38
<ul> <li>'Active-Mail' Agents</li> <li>The idea here is to 'piggy-back' agent programs onto mail.</li> <li>The best-known example of this work is the <i>mime</i> extension to email, allowing Safe-Tcl scripts to be sent.</li> <li>When email is received, the 'agent' is unpacked, and the script executed hence the email is no longer passive, but active.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The idea here is that a host is only required to execute an agent when it explicitly demands the agent.</li> <li>The best known example of such functionality is that provided by the JAVA language, as embedded within html.</li> <li>A user with a JAVA-compatible browser (e.g., NETSCAPE 2.0) can request html pages that contain applets – small programs implemented in the JAVA language.</li> <li>These applets are downloaded along with all other images, text, forms, etc., on the page, and, once downloaded, are executed on the user's machine.</li> <li>JAVA itself is a general purpose, C/C++ like programming language, (that does not have pointers!)</li> </ul>
An Introduction to Multiagent Systems	An Introduction to Multiagent Systems
<ul> <li>By <i>autonomous</i> mobile, we mean agents that are able to <i>decide for themsel/ves</i> where to go, when, and what to do when they get there (subject to certain resource constraints, e.g., how much 'emoney' they can spend.</li> <li>Such agents are generally programmed in a special language that provides a go instruction best known example is TELESCRIPT.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A Typology for Mobile Agents</li> <li>We can divide mobile agents into at least three types: <ul> <li>autonomous;</li> <li>on-demand;</li> <li>'active mail-type</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Lecture 10 An Introduction to Multiagent Systems Autonomous Mobile Agents	An Introduction to Multiagent Systems

An Introduction to Multiagent Systems           2.1 Telescript	An Introduction to Multiagent Systems     Agents are the providers and consumers of goods in the     Agents in marketplace applications that TEL EXCLUSIVE was
TELESCRIPT was a language-based environment for constructing mobile agent systems.	Agents are interpreted programs, rather like TCL
TELESCRIPT technology is the name given by General Magic to a family of concepts and techniques they have developed to underpin their products.	<ul> <li>Agents are mobile — they are able to move from one place to another, in which case their program and state are encoded and transmitted across a network to another place, where execution</li> </ul>
There are two key concepts in TELESCRIPT technology:	recommences.
– places; and – agents.	<ul> <li>In order to travel across the network, an agent uses a <i>ticket</i>, which specifies the parameters of its journey:</li> </ul>
Places are <i>virtual locations</i> occupied by agents. A place may correspond to a single machine, or a family of machines.	<ul><li>destination;</li><li>completion time.</li></ul>
://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/ 40	http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/
re 10 An Introduction to Multiagent Systems	Lecture 10 An Introduction to Multiagent Systems
	<ul> <li>TELESCRIPT agents have an associated <i>permit</i>, which specifies:</li> <li>– what the agent can do (e.g., limitations on travel);</li> </ul>
Agents can communicate with one-another: – if they occupy different places, then they can connect across a	<ul> <li>what resources the agent can use.</li> <li>The most important resources are:</li> </ul>
network; – if they occupy the same location, then they can <i>meet</i> one	<ul> <li>- 'money', measured in 'teleclicks' (which correspond to real money);</li> </ul>
another.	<ul><li>lifetime (measured in seconds);</li><li>size (measured in bytes).</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>Agents and places are executed by an <i>engine</i>.</li> <li>An engine is a kind of agent operating system correspond to operating system processes.</li> </ul>
://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/ 42	http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/

An Introduction to Multiagent Systems General Magic claim that the sophisticated built in Communications services make TELESCRIPT ideal for agent Applications!		www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/	<ul> <li>An Introduction to Multiagent Systems</li> <li>Just as operating systems can limit the access provided to a process (e.g., in UNIX, via access rights), so an engine limits the way an agent can access its environment.</li> </ul>
20	]	4	~ <u>ಹ</u>
	<ul> <li>General Magic claim that the sophisticated built in communications services make TELESCRIPT ideal for agent applications!</li> </ul>	<sup>10</sup> General Magic claim that the sophisticated communications services make TELESCRIP applications!	//www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/ for General Magic claim that the sophisticated communications services make TELESCRIP applications!

njw/pubs/imas/ 45	http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/
	<ul> <li>persistent;</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>– a 'process' class, of which 'agent' and 'place' are sub-classes;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>– a 'process' cla</li> </ul>
two levels — high (the 'visible' language), and low (a semi-compiled language for efficient execution);	<ul> <li>two levels — h</li> <li>semi-compileo</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>interpreted;</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>pure object oriented language — everything is an object — apparently based on SMALLTALK;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>pure object or apparently base</li> </ul>
Agents and places are programmed using the TELESCRIPT language:	<ul> <li>Agents and place language:</li> </ul>
Engines provide (C/C++) links to other applications via application program interfaces (APIs).	<ul> <li>Engines provide application progr</li> </ul>
Engines continually monitor agent's resource consumption, and kill agents that exceed thei limit.	<ul> <li>Engines continually monitor age kill agents that exceed thei limit.</li> </ul>
An Introduction to Multiagent Systems	Lecture 10

<ul> <li>An Introduction to Multiagent Systems</li> <li>Summary: <ul> <li>a rich set of primitives for building distributed applications, with a fairly powerful notion of agency;</li> <li>agents are ultimately interpreted programs;</li> <li>no notion of strong agency!</li> <li>likely to have a significant impact (support from Apple, AT&amp;T, Motorola, Philips, Sony).</li> <li>not heard of anyone who has yet actually used it!</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/

47

://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/	but this power comes at the expense of speed.	As TCL programs are <i>interpreted</i> , they are very much easier to prototype and debug than compiled languages like C/C++ — they also provide more powerful control constructs	they can be executed by a shell program (tclsh or wish); they can call up various other programs and obtain results from these programs (cf. procedure calls).	they are plain text programs, that contain control structures (iteration, sequence, selection) and data structures (e.g., variables, lists, and arrays) just like a normal programming language;	TCL scripts have many of the properties that UNIX shell scripts have:	<ul> <li>TCL programs are called scripts.</li> </ul>	An Introduction to Multiagent Systems	://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/ 48	<ul> <li>2.2 TCL/TK and Scripting Languages</li> <li>2.2 TCL/TK and Scripting Languages</li> <li>2.2 TCL/TK and Scripting Languages</li> <li>4 The (free) Tool Control Language (TCL — pronounced 'tickle') and its companion TK, are now often mentioned in connection with agent based systems.</li> <li>TCL was primarily intended as a standard <i>command language</i> — lots of applications provide such languages, (databases, spreadsheets,), but every time a new application is developed, a new command language must be as well.</li> <li>TCL provides the facilities to easily implement your own command language.</li> <li>TK is an X window based widget toolkit — it provides facilities for making GUI features such as buttons, labels, text and graphic windows (much like other X widget sets).</li> <li>TK also provides powerful facilities for interprocess communication, via the exchange of TCL scripts.</li> </ul>
http://www.csc.llv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/						<ul> <li>Also, the structuring constructs provided by TCL leave something to be desired.</li> </ul>	An Introduction to Multiagent Systems	http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/ 49	<ul> <li>TCL/TK combined, make an attractive and simple to use GUI development tool; however, they have features that make them much more interesting:</li> <li>TCL it is an <i>interpreted language</i>;</li> <li>TCL is <i>extendable</i> — it provides a core set of primitives, implemented in C/C++, and allows the user to build on these as required;</li> <li>TCL/TK can be <i>embedded</i> — the interpreter itself is available as C++ code, which can be embedded in an application, and can itself be extended.</li> </ul>

An Introduction to Multiagent Systems
<ul> <li>So where does the idea of an agent come in?</li> <li>It is easy to build applications where TCL scripts are exchanged across a network, and executed on remote machines.</li> <li>Thus TCL scripts become sort of agents.</li> <li>A key issue is <i>safety</i>. You don't want to provide someone elses script with the full access to your computer that an ordinary scripting language (e.g., csh) provides.</li> <li>This led to Safe TCL, which provides mechanisms for limiting the access provided to a script.</li> <li>Example: Safe TCL control the access that a script has to the UI, by placing limits on the number of times a window can be modified by a script.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>So where does the idea of an agent come in?</li> <li>It is easy to build applications where TCL scripts are exchanged across a network, and executed on remote machines.</li> <li>Thus TCL scripts become sort of agents.</li> <li>A key issue is <i>safety</i>. You don't want to provide someone elses script with the full access to your computer that an ordinary scripting language (e.g., csh) provides.</li> <li>This led to Safe TCL, which provides mechanisms for limiting the access provided to a script.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>So where does the idea of an agent come in? It is easy to build applications where TCL scripts are exchanged across a network, and executed on remote machines. Thus TCL scripts become sort of agents.</li> <li>A key issue is <i>safety</i>. You don't want to provide someone elses script with the full access to your computer that an ordinary scripting language (e.g., csh) provides.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>So where does the idea of an agent come in?</li> <li>It is easy to build applications where TCL scripts are exchanged across a network, and executed on remote machines.</li> <li>Thus TCL scripts become sort of agents.</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>The core primitives may be used for building agent programming environments — the source code is free, stable, well-designed, and easily modified.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>But they are not/were not intended as agent programming environments.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>TCL/TK provide a rich environment for building language-based applications, particularly GUI-based ones.</li> </ul>
Summary:
An Introduction to Multiagent Systems

http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/

52

://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/imas/